

## CONNECTICUT

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)			
Congress'l	District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes
					FY 2005 Estimate
	00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0
	04 Weir Farm NHS	774	769	0	0

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## CONNECTICUT (NER)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

**Study Area**

Coltsville

**Type of Project**

Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

**Park Area**

Weir Farm NHS

**Project Title**

Replace Maintenance, Curatorial and Administrative  
Facilities

**Funds**

\$3,536

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$559

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,404

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	680
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Replace Park Maintenance, Curatorial and HQ Facilities		
<b>Project No:</b> 021523	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Weir Farm National Historic Site	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 05	<b>State:</b> CT

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This project will construct a new maintenance and curatorial facility on recently acquired land a short distance from the historic core of the park and in close proximity to the proposed visitor center and art gallery (to be built sometime in the future, likely on the Goldsmith property). The proposed maintenance facility will be approximately 5,900 square feet and will include approximately 5,400 square feet of light industrial space for maintenance purposes, and approximately 500 square feet of office space for maintenance staff. Approximately 1,925 square feet of exterior storage space for site materials is also required. A 4,350-square-foot curatorial facility will be located on the same parcel of land. It will consist of 2,400 square feet of curatorial storage, office space for five curatorial workers, a researcher workstation, a meeting / conference room, and administrative support space. There will be a researcher station and volunteers will work within the facility. An existing residential building, the Westervelt House, will be renovated for park administrative functions at approximately 1,700 square feet, as well as for the Weir Farm Trust at approximately 375 square feet. The project will include the construction of a 1,600-lineal-foot access road to the facility and parking for 25 vehicles. The facility will be served by a well and on-site septic system. Electric power and communications systems will be run underground along the access road.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Weir Farm is a relatively new National Park System unit (est. 1990) with no existing NPS-owned on-site facilities for maintenance or curatorial functions. The park is currently leasing temporary maintenance and curatorial space. The proposed permanent on-site facilities would increase operational efficiency, improve stewardship of vital cultural resources, improve employee safety, and reduce operational costs of daily travel and lease expenses. The park administrative division is currently operating out of the second floor of the Burlingham House, one of the park's primary historic structures. Relocation of the administrative function to the new facility will result in improved operational efficiencies and help to preserve a primary historic resource. The Weir Farm general management plan identified a 15-acre parcel of land located within one-quarter mile of the park, to serve as a support area for the park. The GMP intends that this area include a new visitor center and museum/art gallery, and the proposed curatorial, maintenance and administrative facilities. In FY 2000, in keeping with the GMP, Congress appropriated \$2,000,000 for the purpose of purchasing the land for this support area.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
10 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
50 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
40 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 680

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
<b>Deferred Maintenance Work :</b>	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Appropriated to Date:</b>	\$ 0
<b>Capital Improvement Work:</b>	\$ 1,768,000	50	<b>Requested in FY 2005 Budget:</b>	\$ 3,536,000
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	\$ 3,536,000	100	<b>Planned Funding:</b>	\$ 0
<b>Class of Estimate:</b>	B		<b>Future Funding to</b>	
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b>	09/30/05		<b>Complete Project:</b>	\$ 0
			<b>Project Total:</b>	\$ 3,536,000
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>
<b>Construction Start/Award</b>	1 / 2005		<b>Prepared/Last Updated:</b> 12/5/03	<b>Departmental</b>
<b>Project Complete:</b>	4 / 2007			<b>Approval:</b>
				<b>YES: NO: x</b>

**DELAWARE (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$449

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$868

## MAINE

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

(dollars in thousands)					
Congress'l District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
01,02 Acadia NP	6,314	6,277	0	0	6,277
00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
Roosevelt Campobello International Park <sup>1</sup>	0	0	837	59	896
02 Saint Croix Island IHS	61	202	0	0	202

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

<sup>1</sup> Reflects a transfer of funds to Statutory Aid

## **MAINE**

### **Roosevelt Campobello International Peace Park, Maine and New Brunswick, Canada**

#### **\$59,000 to Enhance Maintenance Operations**

Funding is requested to enhance maintenance operations. Costs for this international park are shared equally by the United States and Canada. The requested increase would match the Canadian government's support of the Roosevelt Campobello International Park Commission. Funding would be used to enhance maintenance of park trails, historical gardens and four summer cottages associated with Franklin D. Roosevelt. Sustained maintenance of park resources would enhance visitor enjoyment and understanding.

**MAINE (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Acadia NP (Schoodic Unit)	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$545

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$901

## MARYLAND

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l	District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
	04 Accokeek Foundation	595	589	0	0	589
	04 Alice Ferguson Foundation	199	198	0	0	198
	06 Antietam NB	2,822	2,803	0	0	2,803
	00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
	01 Assateague Island NS	3,335	3,385	0	0	3,385
	04,05 Baltimore-Washington Parkway	1,281	1,273	0	0	1,273
	06 Catoctin Mountain Park	2,335	2,325	0	0	2,325
	06,08 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	8,298	8,371	0	0	8,371
	08 Clara Barton NHS	498	493	0	0	493
	02 Fort McHenry NM & Historic Shrine	1,732	1,710	0	0	1,710
	04,05 Fort Washington Park	880	876	0	0	876
	George Washington Mem Parkway	9,907	10,095	0	0	10,095
	05 Greenbelt Park	877	873	0	0	873
	01,02 Hampton NHS	963	956	0	0	956
	06 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,761	5,737	0	0	5,737
	06 Monocacy NB	930	998	0	105	1,103
	05 Piscataway Park	486	480	0	0	480
	00 Potomac Heritage NST	197	216	0	0	216
	00 Rock Creek Park	6,281	6,244	0	0	6,244
	05 Thomas Stone NHS	594	591	0	0	591

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.



**MARYLAND (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Hampton NHS	Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Assateague Island NS Personal	
Watercraft Management	Ongoing Study
Harriet Tubman Sites	Ongoing Study
Chesapeake Bay Sites	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Hampton NHS	Install Environmental Controls in Hampton Mansion	\$1,546

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$593

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$1,776

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	750
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Install Environmental Controls in Hampton Mansion		
<b>Project No:</b> 077437	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Hampton National Historic Site	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 02	<b>State:</b> MD

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This project will install environmental controls in Hampton Mansion, one of the largest, most ornate houses built in 18th-century America, to protect the historic structure and the extensive historic furnishings and artifacts inside the mansion, and to enhance visitor comfort during periods of extreme cold and heat. An automated climate control system will serve museum storage areas, all exhibit spaces/period rooms, visitor facilities, a gift shop and offices. Project work will include rehabilitation of the original windows and doors; construction of a small central plant adjacent to the Mansion (in a reconstructed dependency); and installation of air handling units, humidifiers, filters, ductwork, piping, insulation, controls, and electrical distribution. During the three-month project, museum collections will be stored off-site. The project will preserve and protect the primary park cultural resources and permit safe human access in Mansion, particularly during summer months, by reducing extremes of temperature and humidity to safe levels.

Hampton NHS, established to save the Mansion's outstanding 18th-century architecture, now preserves the core of a sprawling estate, with extensive gardens, farm buildings, and several smaller residences, including slave quarters. A cross-section of American history from c. 1700-1948, the park tells the story of America's people -- enslaved African-Americans, indentured servants, hired agricultural and industrial workers, wealthy and influential estate owners. Extensive archival materials and a large photograph collection document the park's 45,000-object museum collection, much of it original to Hampton and internationally significant. Museum collections are listed as a primary resource in the site's National Register documentation. This project will stabilize temperature and humidity within the Mansion's 28,000 square feet, particularly for museum storage and exhibit areas. Environmental controls will significantly reduce the rate of deterioration for museum objects, lowering conservation costs and repeated treatments for damaged objects. The project will replace steam radiators and correct poor ventilation that result in rapid relative humidity fluctuations (10% to 90%) during the year. The new system will also moderate high temperatures during the summer (85 degrees and higher) to improve visitor and staff health and safety. Air quality will be improved, reducing risks from mold, mildew, dust, pests, and pollution from an adjacent highway. Professional conservators believe this need is urgent, due to a history of repeated treatments caused by environmental stress -- daily monitoring devices confirm the threat. Incidents of visitors becoming sick, feeling faint, or fainting while on tour, and inability of staff to work in overheated, under-ventilated spaces, confirm serious health and safety risks.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

30 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	10 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
60 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: x	<b>Total Project Score:</b> 750
--	---------------------------------

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 1,546,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 0	0	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$	1,546,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 1,546,000	100	Planned Funding:	\$	0
Class of Estimate:	B		Future Funding to		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Complete Project:	\$	0
			Project Total:	\$	1,546,000
Dates:	Sch'd (qtr/yy)				Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Project Data Sheet		Departmental
Project Complete:	4 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03		Approval:
					YES: NO: x

## MASSACHUSETTS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
	10 Adams NHP	2,507	2,494	0	0	2,494
	00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
	09 Boston African American NHS	708	703	0	0	703
04,07,08,09,10	Boston Harbor Islands NRA	821	815	0	0	815
08,09	Boston NHP	8,006	7,840	0	497	8,337
	10 Cape Cod NS	6,013	5,974	0	0	5,974
	04 Frederick Law Olmsted NHS	2,106	2,101	0	0	2,101
	04 John F Kennedy NHS	306	302	0	0	302
	08 Longfellow NHS	786	776	0	0	776
	05 Lowell NHP	8,556	8,503	0	780	9,283
05,07	Minute Man NHP	2,547	2,057	0	0	2,057
	04 New Bedford Whaling NHP	630	625	0	0	625
	06 Salem Maritime NHS	1,868	1,860	0	0	1,860
	06 Saugus Iron Works NHS	841	835	0	0	835
	02 Springfield Armory NHS	941	1,036	0	0	1,036

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **MASSACHUSETTS**

### **Boston National Historical Park, Massachusetts**

#### **\$497,000 and 8.0 FTEs to Operate and Maintain Rehabilitated Facilities**

Funding is requested to operate and maintain rehabilitated historic structures. The park recently completed restorations of Old South Meeting House, Old State House, Faneuil Hall, Building 28 in the Charlestown Navy Yard, and the Monument and grounds at Bunker Hill and Dorchester Heights. These restorations total a combined investment of \$36 million dollars, and visitation at these sites exceeds one million per year. This request would allow the National Park Service and its partners to introduce new interpretive tours and public programs and to maintain the utility systems, grounds, visitor facilities and exhibits, enhancing visitor experience.

### **Lowell National Historical Park, Massachusetts**

#### **\$780,000 to Preserve Significant Historic Resources**

Funding is requested to enhance preservation of historic structures. Currently 60% of 6,000 historic structures in the Northeast Region are in poor or deteriorating condition. Funding would be used to provide support to parks through technical assistance, training and research, assessment of conditions, strategic and project planning, historic resource treatment and project management services. Through this joint collaboration with parks, the Nation's historic resources will be preserved and future generations will be able to experience their heritage.

## MASSACHUSETTS (NER)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Boston Harbor Islands NRA	Construct Floating Docks to Provide Safe Access to Little Brewster Island	\$800
Boston NHP	Rehabilitate Building 125	\$1,187
Boston NHP	Rehabilitate Commandant's House	\$774
Boston NHP	Rehabilitate Building 5	\$2,963
Frederick Law Olmsted NHS	Upgrade Life/Safety Systems and Rehabilitate Historic Structures	\$2,011
Saugus Iron Works NHS	Rehabilitate Resources for Accessibility and Safety	\$1,283

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Cape Cod NS	Rehabilitate Roads and Parking	\$2,100

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$656

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,027

National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET

Project Score/Ranking:	400
Planned Funding FY:	2005
Funding Source:	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

Project Title: Construct Floating Docks to Provide Safe Access to Little Brewster Island		
Project No: 016328	Unit/Facility Name: Boston Harbor Islands National Recreation Area	
Region: Northeast	Congressional District: 07,08,09,10	State: Massachusetts

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This project will allow safe access to Little Brewster Island (site of Boston Light, oldest lighthouse site in America) for visitor on loading and off loading. The current facility is comprised of a fixed granite block wharf with a fendering system and ladder leading to the water. Due to a tide range of approximately 10.0 feet, visitors accessing the island by boat must climb up the steel ladder approximately 15-20 feet to gain access to a wharf and the island. This severely limits the number of visitors that can safely access the island, the time of day that access can be gained (due to the tidal fluctuations) and the ability to allow access by handicapped persons. This project will eliminate these hardships by constructing a fixed pile support system adjacent to the wharf and installing a floating pier, a lifting platform, and winches for vessels to moor and off-load passengers. A ramped personnel gangway, a power-assisted handicapped gangway, and raised platform will be installed from the floating dock system to the wharf for visitors to transit from the floating docks to the top of the wharf. A raised platform will be installed on the existing South Pier to keep the top of the gangway out of the water.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Little Brewster Island is home to Boston Light, site of the first lighthouse constructed in America (1716). The island lies at the entrance to Boston Harbor and is only accessible by boat. The approximate 1.0 acre island contains the existing lighthouse, constructed in 1783 (the former light was burned down by the British as they evacuated Boston during the Revolutionary War), keepers quarters constructed in 1884, oil house, cistern building and boathouse. Boston Light is a National Historic Landmark, and Little Brewster Island is listed on the on the National Register of Historic Places. With the creation of the Boston Harbor Islands National Recreation Area, Little Brewster Island and the facilities on the island will be available for public visitation and cultural interpretation. The site offers a wealth of historic significance in several areas including development of lighthouse technology, early colonial transportation and the development of Boston as a major seaport. Existing access to the island is unacceptable for safe transit and off loading/on loading of visitors. Improvements to allow more visitors to the island will create a much-improved Park and allow more in-depth enjoyment of the history associated with the harbor islands.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
30 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	30 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	40 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: x Total Project Score: 400

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 240,000	30	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 560,000	70	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$	800,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 800,000	100	Required to Complete Project:	\$	0
Class of Estimate: B			Project Total:		
Estimate Good Until: 09/30/05			\$ 800,000		
Dates: Sch'd (qtr/yy)					Unchanged Since
Construction Start/Award 1 / 2005			Project Data Sheet		Departmental
Project Complete: 4 / 2005			Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03		Approval:
					YES: NO: x

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	542
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Building 5			
<b>Project No:</b> 016285		<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Boston National Historical Park	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 08	<b>State:</b> MA	

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Completed in 1816 as a three story brick supply building in the Charlestown Navy Yard, Building 5 shares a common wall with Building 4 and functions as one structure with a total of 39,000 square feet of usable space. The buildings will receive exterior preservation treatment and the first floor space will be rehabilitated for a visitor center at the Charlestown Navy Yard. Exterior work required includes exterior masonry repointing, painting, and repair/replacement of selected wood windows. The rehabilitation of the interior will make the first floor accessible; upgrade the HVAC electrical, plumbing and fire alarm systems; and create a new visitor center with typical NPS services including restrooms, visitor contact and sales, interpretive media, and multi-purpose AV room. Upon the completion of this project, the Facility Condition index for Building 5 will improve from 0.15 to 0.01.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The existing Charlestown Navy Yard Visitor Center is in a leased space under a "tenancy-at-will" agreement with the U.S. Navy. The lease will be terminated within the next three years leaving the park with no visitor center for the 1.5 visitors to the navy yard. This project will move the existing Visitor Center from leased space to Building 5, one of the oldest and most prominent structures in the Charlestown Navy Yard, located directly in front of the USS Constitution. The park hosts over 500 special events, meetings and functions, serving more than 68,000 people. Consistent with the park's GMP, Building 5 is the ideal location for the visitor center given the prominent location of the building and the proximity to the USS Constitution. This project will consolidate three visitor facilities into one, providing substantial operating efficiency for the park. Crewmembers assigned to the USS Constitution are housed on the third floor of Building 5. The enabling legislation for Boston NHP requires that NPS support the needs of the US Navy in their operation of the USS Constitution. This building is the only navy yard structure that meets this need given the space requirements and its immediate proximity to the historic ship. This project will upgrade an historic structure from fair to good condition.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

20 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	5 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
42 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	33 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 542

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 1,985,000	67	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 978,000	33	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$	2,963,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 2,963,000	100	Planned Funding:	\$	0
Class of Estimate: B			Future Funding to		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Complete Project:	\$	0
			Project Total:	\$	2,963,000
<b>Dates:</b> Sch'd (qtr/yy)			<b>Unchanged Since</b>		
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Project Data Sheet	Departmental	
Project Complete:	4 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03	Approval:	
			YES: NO: x		

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	690
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Commandant's House			
<b>Project No:</b> 016309		<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Boston National Historical Park	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 08	<b>State:</b> Massachusetts	

**Project Justification**

<b>Project Description:</b> This package will rehabilitate the Commandant's House, the oldest structure in the Charlestown Navy Yard, including: replacement of the electrical system; replacement of plumbing for the heating system; accessibility to the main floor and rest rooms at basement level; rehabilitation of the main floor interior including refinishing of floors, replastering and painting of walls and trim; and installation of assisted natural ventilation and fire alarm/suppression systems. The house is used by the park for functions including receptions, meetings, special events and temporary exhibits. The general public visits the house on ranger-led tours. The basement houses offices, including the Freedom Trail Foundation, one of the park's major partners. The current condition of the structure limits the ability of the park to use the house for its intended function, as identified in the GMP and the recently completed Freedom Trail Study. Critical health and safety components include electrical system replacement, fire alarm systems, accessibility, and replacement plumbing to meet current codes.	
<b>Project Need/Benefit:</b> In the past year, the park hosted over 500 events that were attended by approximately 68,000 people. The Charlestown Navy Yard has an annual visitation of 1.5 million. The electrical system in the house was last upgraded in the 1935. The park has turned down requests for temporary exhibits and major functions in the house because the electrical system is a fire hazard under these heavier loads. The plumbing in the house, dating back to the 1930s has failed on at least three occasions causing loss of historic fabric and damage to property belonging to park cooperators housed in the building. The house could be a major park income source and could be used as a fund-raising venue for park partners with the upgrade of the interior finishes, and the addition of accessible facilities and air-conditioning. The upgraded interior will greatly enhance interpretation of the house.	
<b>Ranking Categories:</b> Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.	
35 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance 15 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement 10 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance 5 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance 35 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance 0 % Other Capital Improvement
<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: x <b>Total Project Score:</b> 690	

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b> \$'s % Deferred Maintenance Work : \$ 619,000 80 Capital Improvement Work: \$ 155,000 20 Total Project Estimate: \$ 774,000 100			<b>Project Funding History:</b> Appropriated to Date: \$ 0 Requested in FY 2005 Budget: \$ 774,000 Planned Funding: \$ 0 Future Funding to Complete Project: \$ 0 Project Total: \$ 774,000	
Class of Estimate: B Estimate Good Until: 09/30/05			Project Data Sheet Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03	
<b>Dates:</b> Sch'd (qtr/yy) Construction Start/Award 1 / 2005 Project Complete: 4 / 2005			Unchanged Since Departmental Approval: YES: NO: x	



**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	725
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Building 125			
<b>Project No:</b> 016321		<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Boston National Historical Park	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 08	<b>State:</b> MA	

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Building 125 is a 2-story brick structure constructed in 1906 with flanking 1-story wings that total 11,000 square feet. The purpose of this project is to perform structural upgrades and improve compliance with life-health-safety codes, replace the HVAC system, improve building accessibility, and preserve select areas of the building's envelope.

Project work will complete:

- Select structural repairs to meet current building codes for floor load capacity;
- Provision of fire egress from the 2nd floor to comply with life-safety codes;
- Replacement of the existing HVAC system including related plumbing and electrical service;
- Installation of a new elevator and modification of doors to comply with ADA requirements;
- Exterior building preservation through repointing, window repairs and repainting.

Upon completion of this project, the Facility Condition Index for Building 125 will improve from 0.20 to 0.01.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Built in 1906, Building 125 has not had major rehabilitation work since it was completed. Work must be done to the building to upgrade structural elements and make the building safe and accessible to visitors and staff, to upgrade antiquated utility systems, and to preserve its historic fabric. Today, the building houses the park's major exhibit on the history of the Navy Yard -- "Serving the Fleet," workshop facilities, and office space for partner groups. The exhibit will be relocated to a new visitor contact facility as part of the rehabilitation of Building 5 (BOST 016285) and a future project will complete the conversion of all of Building 125 to a central park office facility.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

30 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	5 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
5 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	15 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
45 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 725

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
	\$'s	%	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 1,127,650	95	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$	1,187,000
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 59,350	5	Planned Funding:	\$	0
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 1,187,000	100	Future Funding to		
Class of Estimate:	B		Complete Project:	\$	0
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Project Total:	\$	1,187,000
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>		<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated:	12/5/03	Departmental
Project Complete:	1 / 2006				Approval:
					YES: NO: x

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	840
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Upgrade Life/Safety Systems and Rehabilitate Historic Structures		
<b>Project No:</b> 060012	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Fredrick Law Olmsted National Historic Site	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 04	<b>State:</b> Massachusetts

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** The purpose of this project is to provide full fire suppression, detection and improved environmental systems for Frederick Law Olmsted Home, Office, and Barn. The existing systems are woefully inadequate and unable to provide the basic protection of the cultural resources, operational component and ensure the life safety of park visitors and staff. In addition the project will address the site drainage to mitigate ongoing surface water flow conditions that cause periodic flooding. This project is comprised of the following elements:

- Life/Safety & Security Systems - Upgrade fire detection, fire suppression and intrusion alarm systems in 3 historic structures.
- Utility Systems - Provide new electrical and gas service to support HVAC upgrade; add cooling and remove non-historic window air conditioning units; renovate heating system, including boilers and distribution components; install new temperature controls; and extend central system heating and cooling to the Barn.
- Historic Home and Office Wing - Integrate HVAC into the historic fabric; reinforce first floor office structure of Office Wing.
- Historic Barn - Provide for distribution of power, heating and cooling.
- Drainage Systems - Install new storm collection system addressing chronic flooding in localized areas within the site; separate site storm drainage from sanitary sewers.

Upon completion of this project, the Facility Condition Index for these historic structures will have been improved from 0.27 to 0.00.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Frederick Law Olmsted NHS preserves the home, office, design archives, and grounds of America's foremost parkmaker and founding landscape architect. All historic buildings were restored or rehabilitated in the 1980's. A 15-year effort to catalog and conserve the site's significant design and professional archives will finish in 2004, and they are now available to the public on a limited basis. However, the park's aged infrastructure, never fully adequate, is almost past repair and seriously endangers health and safety. Combined with the periodic floods and persistent drainage problems resulting from the site's geology, these conditions threaten the cultural resources with damage or loss. NPS finds that the substantial investments made at Olmsted NHS are now endangered.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

25 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
40 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
20 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
15 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: x	<b>Total Project Score:</b> 840
--	---------------------------------

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 905,000	45	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 1,106,000	55	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$	2,011,000
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 2,011,000</b>	<b>100</b>	Planned Funding:	\$	0
Class of Estimate:	B		Future Funding to		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Complete Project:	\$	0
			<b>Project Total:</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>2,011,000</b>
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Unchanged Since</b>		
Construction Start/Award	2 / 2005		<b>Departmental</b>		
Project Complete:	3 / 2006		<b>Approval:</b>		
			<b>YES: NO: x</b>		

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	710
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Resources for Accessibility and Safety		
<b>Project No:</b> 060099	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Saugus Iron Works National Historic Site	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 06	<b>State:</b> MA

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** The purpose of this project at Saugus Iron Works is threefold:

- To upgrade visitor contact facilities by rehabilitating and restoring portions of the historic (c. 1680) Iron Works House currently used for offices and storage for use as an accessible visitor interpretive and orientation space, including installation of new exhibits. The existing contact station -- which now blocks visitor views of the Iron Works industrial core -- will be removed.
- To provide safe universal access to the structures and landscape, while preserving the cultural landscape and protecting archeological resources, by modifying the pathway between the Iron Works House and the industrial area (a 20-foot difference in grade) and installing a ramp and a mechanical stair lift. Safe access will be provided within the industrial area by re-grading, modifying the pathways system, and installing two additional mechanical stair lifts.
- To ensure protection of park museum collections by consolidating the majority of collections in proper environments located with the curatorial staff. Non-historic houses owned by the park will be remodeled into museum collections storage, curatorial workspace, and office space.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The 17th-century Iron Works House is currently not accessible to persons with disabilities; NPS offices and storage space currently occupy the sections of the house that could provide accessibility. These areas of the house also exhibit significant deterioration of historic fabric. Moving visitor orientation from the contact station (to be removed) into these sections will increase access to this primary resource for both persons with disabilities and the general public (only 8% can currently visit the house). The 20-foot drop between the area of the House and the area of the Iron Works industrial complex currently precludes handicap access to the Iron Works and creates safety hazards for all visitors. Grade changes within the Iron Works complex itself are also needed for accessibility; these changes can be sensitively done, without damaging the integrity of the cultural landscape. Museum collection storage is scattered and vulnerable, and many items are deteriorating rapidly from lack of climate controls; converting a modern park-owned residence can provide space and controls. Office space for staff is severely limited. Offices and museum storage removed from the Iron Works House to make it accessible to the public can be moved into converted park residences. Cost of conversion of the park residences is approximately 10% of the cost of a new collections storage facility.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

40 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	10 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	20 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
30 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 710

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
<b>Deferred Maintenance Work :</b>	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Appropriated to Date:</b>	\$ 0
<b>Capital Improvement Work:</b>	\$ 0	0	<b>Requested in FY 2005 Budget:</b>	\$ 1,283,000
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	\$ 1,283,000	100	<b>Planned Funding:</b>	\$ 0
<b>Class of Estimate:</b>	B		<b>Future Funding to</b>	
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b>	09/30/05		<b>Complete Project:</b>	\$ 0
			<b>Project Total:</b>	\$ 1,283,000
<b>Dates:</b>			<b>Unchanged Since</b>	
<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>			<b>Departmental</b>	
<b>Construction Start/Award</b> 2 / 2005			<b>Approval:</b>	
<b>Project Complete:</b> 1 / 2006			<b>YES: NO: x</b>	
			<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	
			<b>Prepared/Last Updated:</b> 12/5/03	

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congress'l District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	(dollars in thousands)				
	FY 2003 Enacted	FY 2004 Enacted	FY 2005 Uncontrol Changes	FY 2005 Program Changes	FY 2005 Estimate
00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
02 Saint-Gaudens NHS	950	945	0	0	945

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**NEW HAMPSHIRE (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$498

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$924

## NEW JERSEY

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

(dollars in thousands)					
Congress <sup>1</sup>		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes
					FY 2005 Estimate
	00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0
	05,12 Delaware Water Gap NRA	8,141	8,003	0	0
	08,10 Edison NHS	2,034	1,959	0	0
	06 Gateway NRA	21,112	20,938	0	0
	11 Morristown NHP	2,128	2,113	0	0
	06,13 National Parks of New York Harbor	544	538	0	0
	00 New Jersey Coastal Heritage Trail	223	224	0	0
	New Jersey Pinelands Natl Res	295	291	0	0
	13 Statue of Liberty NM & Ellis Island	11,312	13,261	0	500
					13,761

0

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **NEW JERSEY**

### **Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island, New Jersey and New York**

#### **\$500,000 to Increase Security at the Statue and Ellis Island**

Funding is requested to contract screening and other security services. The Statue of Liberty is an international symbol of American values, one of the world's most recognized and cherished icons. Although considered at risk prior to September 11th, since the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks the consensus from the intelligence community has consistently recognized the Statue as one of the highest profile targets for future terrorist attacks. Currently, screening operations exist on boats carrying visitors to the Statue of Liberty NM and Ellis Island. The statue itself is closed while undergoing security and accessibility improvements. Funding would provide enhanced on-site security screening operations for the statue. This request would ensure the safety of visitors and the preservation of our nation's symbol of freedom.

## NEW JERSEY (NER)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

#### GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

Statue of Liberty NM	Potential New Start
Morristown NHP	Ongoing Project

#### SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Great Falls Historic District	Ongoing Study

#### LAND ACQUISITION

None

#### CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Delaware Water Gap NRA	Replace Depew Recreation Site	\$2,298

#### PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$660

#### STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,483



**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	<b>585</b>
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	<b>2005</b>
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<b>Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Replace Depew Recreation Site		
<b>Project No:</b> 029465	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 05	<b>State:</b> New Jersey

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This package would relocate existing recreational facilities and traditional visitor use from the Depew recreation site and replace them nearby at the Copper Mine site. Improvements to the new site would include the realignment and paving of existing access roads; the excavation and grading of approximately 300 linear feet of river shoreline to create a swim beach; construction of a 100- to 125-vehicle parking lot, a picnic area with tables, a public restroom, a lifeguard station, an entrance station, and a fenced storage area; and re-vegetation of disturbed areas. This request will be supplemented by funding from the Federal Lands Highway Program (FLHP) for construction of access roads and parking.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Depew recreation site is one of the park's most popular areas, used by an estimated 600+ visitors per day on summer weekends. Depew has a history of recreational use dating back to at least the 1960s and a strong visitor following who have established traditions for use of the area including swimming. Depew became the de facto swim beach since there were no restrictions on swimming in the river and no designated swim beaches on the New Jersey side of the park. Visitor use has continued to increase leading to chronic overcrowding and blocked access for emergency vehicles that have become significant public safety concerns. Overcrowding has also lead to resource damage as visitors expanded into undeveloped and previously undisturbed areas, impacting formerly natural areas and threatening vegetated riverbanks, several populations of state-listed plant species, and prehistoric archeological resources. The Depew site had no potable water until very recently. There are no modern restroom facilities, only chemical portable toilets that produce many visitor complaints and contribute to litter and improper disposal of human waste in the woods and around the site. In the aftermath of two drownings at the site in 1999, planning was initiated for improvements at Depew. Initial studies raised significant concerns about river currents and habitat for a state-listed endangered species at Depew, leading to a decision to replace the facilities nearby at a more suitable swim beach location at the Copper Mine site. Relocation of the recreational facilities and use will eliminate or reduce the risks and impacts at the Depew site. The new Copper Mine facilities are being planned to address the deficiencies at Depew. Physical delineation of trails, walkways, and public use areas will avoid the expansion of social trails into undisturbed areas. The availability of modern, adequately sized toilet facilities will eliminate human waste around the site, thereby avoiding health risks to visitors and employees. The parking area will be relatively compact and constructed on fill, protecting any archeological resources underneath the site. The parking layout and circulation will be more efficient and convenient, and will accommodate more vehicle types. Consolidation of parking near the entrance will protect views from the river and will allow more space for passive recreation such as picnicking. Wayside signage will be used to educate visitors on environmentally responsible recreation.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	25 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
35 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
40 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 585

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 1,380,000	50	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 462,000	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 1,380,000	50	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$ 2,298,000	
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 2,760,000	100	Planned Funding:	\$ 0	
Class of Estimate:	B		Future Funding to		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Complete Project:	\$ 0	
			Project Total:	\$ 2,760,000	
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>	
Construction Start/Award	2 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03	Departmental	
Project Complete:	2 / 2006			Approval:	
				YES: NO: x	

## NEW YORK

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
	00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
	20 Eleanor Roosevelt NHS	566	580	0	0	580
	Erie Canalway NHC	249	247	0	0	247
	01,02 Fire Island NS	3,512	3,475	0	336	3,811
	24 Fort Stanwix NM	1,289	1,275	0	0	1,275
	09,13 Gateway NRA	21,112	20,938	0	0	20,938
	Governor's Island NM	1,093	1,081	0	0	1,081
	20 Home of Franklin D Roosevelt NHS	2,279	2,266	0	0	2,266
	08 Manhattan Sites (Hqtrs)	776	776	0	0	776
	00 North Country NST	543	596	0	0	596
	08 Castle Clinton NM	567	564	0	0	564
	08 Federal Hall Natl Memorial	637	630	0	0	630
	08 General Grant Natl Memorial	604	601	0	0	601
	15 Hamilton Grange Natl Memorial	156	155	0	0	155
	17,18 Saint Paul's Church NHS	289	285	0	0	285
	14 Theodore Roosevelt Birthplace NHS	223	223	0	0	223
	20 Martin Van Buren NHS	1,063	1,057	0	0	1,057
	08,09,13 National Parks of New York Harbor	544	538	0	0	538
	03 Sagamore Hill NHS	986	979	0	434	1,413
	20 Saratoga NHP	1,594	1,585	0	0	1,585
	08 Statue of Liberty NM & Ellis Island	11,312	13,261	0	500	13,761
	28 Theodore Roosevelt Inaugural NHS	212	210	0	0	210
	19,22 Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River	2,655	2,638	0	0	2,638
	20 Vanderbilt Mansion NHS	1,117	1,108	0	0	1,108
	24 Women's Rights NHP	1,319	1,309	0	0	1,309

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **NEW YORK**

### **Fire Island National Seashore, New York**

#### **\$336,000 and 5.0 FTEs to Repair and Maintain Visitor Facilities**

Funding is requested to provide preventive maintenance of marinas, restrooms, boardwalks, roads, buildings and utilities. Broken sewer lines, deteriorating docks, and dilapidated buildings are damaging water resources, risking public health, and affecting wildlife. Annual visitor surveys show low ratings for restrooms and facilities condition. Areas cannot be physically closed due to multiple entry points, thus increasing the risk of public injury from exposure to unsafe conditions. Funding would be used to restore 23 facilities to "good" condition, maintain 15 miles of boardwalk to protect people and resources, and to contract services for specialized work on marinas, sewage, potable water and electrical systems. In addition, funding would increase use of sustainable materials, enable the park to do routine repair and maintenance, and ultimately reduce future costs.

### **Sagamore Hill National Historic Site, New York**

#### **\$434,000 and 5.0 FTEs to Operate Rehabilitated Museum**

Funding is requested to operate the newly rehabilitated Old Orchard Museum, a tribute to the life and significant accomplishments of Theodore Roosevelt. Improvements made to the museum make it handicapped accessible for the first time, provide public restrooms, and include environmentally-controlled museum exhibit space and alarms. The latter would allow enlarged, improved and contextually broadened interpretive exhibits. The new facilities would provide an added educational opportunity for school groups and support the development of educational programs. Funding would be used to operate new fire suppression and alarm systems, a state of the art heating/cooling system and upgraded electrical systems. Funding would also be used to establish and implement a five-days-per-week school program and build relationships with local school districts. Located just 45 minutes from New York City, Sagamore Hill NHS would be able to reach one of the most diverse student audiences in the country. This request would fulfill the operational needs of the newly renovated museum and improve the quality of visitor services at the site.

### **Statue of Liberty National Monument and Ellis Island, New Jersey and New York**

#### **\$500,000 to Increase Security at the Statue and Ellis Island**

Funding is requested to contract screening and other security services. The Statue of Liberty is an international symbol of American values, one of the world's most recognized and cherished icons. Although considered at risk prior to September 11th, since the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks the consensus from the intelligence community has consistently recognized the Statue as one of the highest profile targets for future terrorist attacks. Currently, screening operations exist on boats carrying visitors to the Statue of Liberty NM and Ellis Island. The statue itself is closed while undergoing security and accessibility improvements. Funding would provide enhanced on-site security screening operations for the statue. This request would ensure the safety of visitors and the preservation of our nation's symbol of freedom.

## NEW YORK (NER)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

#### GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Fort Stanwix NM	Ongoing Project
Governors Island NM	Potential New Start
Home of Franklin D. Roosevelt	Potential New Start
Lower East Side Tenement NHS	Ongoing Project
Sagamore Hill NHS	Potential New Start
Saratoga NHP	Ongoing Project
Statue of Liberty NM	Potential New Start
Thomas Cole NHS	Ongoing Project
Vanderbilt Mansion NHS	Potential New Start

#### SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Fire Island NS, Erosion Management/Beach Preservation	Ongoing Study
Fire Island Personal Watercraft Management Studies	Ongoing Study
Gateway NRA Personal Watercraft Management Studies	Ongoing Study
Harriet Tubman Sites	Ongoing Study
Kate Mullaney House	Ongoing Study
Niagara Falls	Ongoing Study

#### LAND ACQUISITION

None

#### CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Fire Island NS	Rehabilitate Sailors Haven Marina and Ferry Dock	\$2,374
Fire Island NS	Replace West Entrance Ranger Station and Construct Restrooms	\$735

#### PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Gateway NRA	Rehabilitate Parking Lot	\$1,500
Gateway NRA	Rehabilitate Entrance Road	\$150
Gateway NRA	Rehabilitate Road	\$1,500

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$894

#### STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$4,544

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	980
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Sailors Haven Marina and Ferry Dock			
<b>Project No:</b> 030585		<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Fire Island National Seashore	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 01	<b>State:</b> NY	

**Project Justification**

<b>Project Description:</b> The purpose of this project is to reduce public health and safety risks and maintain the operation of the Sailors Haven Marina and Ferry Dock by rebuilding the ferry dock, replacing all breakwater bulkheads and all marina and perimeter bulkheads with new steel piling, and dredging the marina basin and entrance channel.	
<b>Project Need/Benefit:</b> The Sailors Haven Marina and Ferry Dock services boaters and visitors who ride the ferry across to one of the Fire Island National Seashore's premier attractions. Most of the marina and dock structures are 10-20 years old and at the end of their useful life. The facility is in a poor to failing condition and some areas pose a danger to public safety. The failing bulkhead structures are allowing sand backfill to seep into the marina. This reduces the structural integrity of the bulkheads making them susceptible to wave-induced failures as well as reducing marina basin depth which limits boat usage in this concession-operated marina. Without repair and replacement of the marina and ferry dock, the deteriorated existing facilities will not be available for use in the next few years. Safety concerns at present include structurally unsound decking support, loose planking, inadequate loading and unloading ramps, and destruction of submerged resources.	
<b>Ranking Categories:</b> Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.	
80 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance      0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance 20 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement      0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance 0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance      0 % Other Capital Improvement 0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
<b>Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:</b> YES: NO: x	<b>Total Project Score:</b> 980

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 1,889,200	80	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 474,800	20	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$ 2,374,000
<b>Total Component Estimate:</b>	<b>\$ 2,374,000</b>	<b>100</b>	Planned Funding:	\$ 0
Class of Estimate:	B		Future Funding to	
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Complete Project:	\$ 0
			<b>Project Total:</b>	<b>\$ 2,374,000</b>
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03	Departmental
Project Complete:	3 / 2005			Approval:
				YES: NO: x

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	610
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Replace West Entrance Ranger Station and Construct Restrooms			
<b>Project No:</b> 077475		<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Fire Island National Seashore	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 02	<b>State:</b> New York	

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** Construct a 1000-square-foot building to replace the west entrance station of Fire Island National Seashore. Building will serve as the west district ranger station, information center for visitors, and restroom for beach users. The previous kiosk at this location was removed because it was unsafe for employees or visitor use due to its construction and location. This new building will be located at the end of the state's paved road at the boundary between Robert Moses State Park and Fire Island NS. Building will be built as a model of sustainable design for barrier island using materials suitable for the beach environment and raised to a level that will prevent damage from periodic storm flooding. The change in the location of the building will allow better control over visitor access to the area. The new location and facilities will increase the ability of the park to monitor for endangered species protection, as well as archeological site and cultural resource protection of Lighthouse and annex buildings.

**Project Need/Benefit:** There is no NPS facility at the west entrance. Unauthorized vehicles can access off-road areas in the area between the entrance and the current office. More than 200,000 vehicles travel the road at the adjoining state park and these vehicles must be physically stopped from entering without a permit. The adjacent beach is heavily used but there is no restroom for more than one-half mile. Visitors are unaware they are entering Fire Island National Seashore and have no opportunity for information or assistance. Water and first aid will be made available. Current offices in historic Coast Guard station will be removed, allowing for restoration of the building and the original Voice of America transmitter inside. Better monitoring of the access point will decrease the amount of unauthorized vehicle uses that have had impacts on endangered species nesting areas in the past. This facility will also decrease the number of vehicles that drive to the Lighthouse and park.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	40 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
30 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
30 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 610

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 294,000	40	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 441,000	60	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$	735,000
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 735,000	100	Planned Funding:	\$	0
Class of Estimate:	B		Future Funding to		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Complete Project:	\$	0
			Project Total:	\$	735,000
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>		<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03		Departmental
Project Complete:	4 / 2005				Approval:
					YES: NO: x

## PENNSYLVANIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l		FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	
District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol	Program	FY 2005
				Changes	Changes	Estimate
09,12	Allegheny Portage Railroad NHS	2,011	1,998	0	0	1,998
00	Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
10,11,15	Delaware Water Gap NRA	8,141	8,003	0	0	8,003
	Middle Delaware NSR					
01	Edgar Allan Poe NHS	373	372	0	0	372
19	Eisenhower NHS	1,051	1,045	0	0	1,045
09	Flight 93 NMem	0	198	0	365	563
09	Fort Necessity NB	1,245	1,240	0	0	1,240
12	Friendship Hill NHS	406	406	0	0	406
19	Gettysburg NMP	5,195	5,174	0	188	5,362
01	Gloria Dei Church NHS	32	32	0	0	32
06,16	Hopewell Furnace NHS	1,038	1,028	0	0	1,028
01,02	Independence NHP	18,562	21,016	0	0	21,016
12	Johnstown Flood Natl Memorial	698	693	0	0	693
00	North Country NST	543	596	0	0	596
00	Potomac Heritage NST	197	216	0	0	216
10	Steamtown NHS	5,025	4,995	0	0	4,995
01	Thaddeus Kosciuszko Natl Memorial	139	138	0	0	138
10	Upper Delaware Scenic & Rec River	2,655	2,638	0	0	2,638
06,07,13	Valley Forge NHP	5,900	6,226	0	0	6,226

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **PENNSYLVANIA**

### **Flight 93 National Memorial, Pennsylvania**

#### **\$365,000 and 3.0 FTEs to Establish Operations for New Area**

Funding is requested to establish operations at the newly created Flight 93 National Memorial. This catastrophic event, coupled with the high visibility, high priority and highly complex task of creating and managing a new National Park unit, has taxed the financial and personnel capabilities of the other National Park units in the Allegheny cluster, as well as the volunteer resources of the communities. During the summer months, it is not uncommon for more than 1,000 visitors to visit the temporary memorial each day; and during the winter, it is still common to see dozens of cars in the parking lot at any given hour. The funds will be used to coordinate the memorial planning process, perform initial park operational activities, collect, preserve, archive, and catalogue the thousands of artifacts being left at the temporary memorial, and train personnel to provide visitor services. Funding (\$100,000) will also be used to provide support to the Flight 93 Commission. Providing organization during this phase of the park's development would guarantee the park is managed and operated properly, resources are preserved and visitors have an enjoyable experience.

### **Gettysburg National Military Park, Pennsylvania**

#### **\$188,000 to Fund Increased Fixed Utility and Service Costs**

Funding is requested to pay increased utility and service bills for a new sewer system and fire suppression systems. Gettysburg NMP and Eisenhower NHS have received \$6.5 million in line item funds since FY 1996 to replace failing septic systems with a new sewer system and connection to the local treatment plant and to install fire suppression systems. Funding would be applied to increased utility bills and service costs. Paying for the operations of these systems and assuring they are operating properly will aid in preserving historic structures and saving human lives in the event of a fire.



## PENNSYLVANIA (NER)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Flight 93 NM	Potential New Start
Hopewell Furnace NHS	Ongoing Study
Valley Forge NHP	Ongoing Study

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Battle of Homestead and Carrie Furnace	Ongoing Study

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Flight 93 Natl Meml	250 acres	\$2,214

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Allegheny Portage Railroad NHS	Rehabilitate Historic Trace Corridor Trail	\$861
Flight 93 NM	Construct Starter Public Facilities and Service	\$806
Independence NHP	Construct Security Fence and Screening Structure, Phase 1	\$2,000

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Allegheny Portage Railroad NHS	Rehabilitate Road	\$500
Fort Necessity NB	Rehabilitate Road	\$1,600
Johnstown Flood Natl Meml	Rehabilitate Road and Parking	\$700

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$797

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$3,039

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	600
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Historic Trace Corridor Trail		
<b>Project No:</b> 014873	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Allegheny Portage Railroad National Historic Site	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 12	<b>State:</b> Pennsylvania

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** The project is needed to provide access and interpretation of the old Allegheny Portage Railroad trace which is currently undeveloped and minimally interpreted. The funding requested for this project will provide approximately 34,000 linear feet of six-foot-wide, stabilized surface for the main interpretive trail and 1,200 linear feet of three-foot-wide trail, including drainage, one bridge, controlled access and related trail structures. The project will also provide access roads, gravel surface parking and trail staging at three locations including Foot of Ten, south side of US Route 22 at Skew Arch Bridge, and south side of old US Route 222 at Muleshoe Curve. Comfort stations with composting toilets will be built at two locations. Funding is being sought from other sources to add a hiker-biker trail on the New Portage Railroad and a pedestrian overpass at the Skew Arch Bridge. Addition of the hiker/biker trail segment will contribute to the creation of the Pittsburgh-to-Harrisburg Mainline Canal Trail (a designated Millenium Legacy Trail) -- a multi-jurisdictional trail that includes the Staple Bend Tunnel and the historic trace trail. The pedestrian overpass will be added to improve pedestrian safety at the Skew Arch Bridge. Appropriated funds would be applied to these additions if savings can be achieved in rehabilitation of the historic trace trail.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The park preserves the structures, inclines, landscapes of the Allegheny Portage Railroad, the railroad which lifted the canal boats over the summit of the Alleghenies (1,400 ft.) The structures preserved include 7 levels and 7 inclines, 14 culverts, one historic bridge, one occupied historic structure and numerous archeological ruins. The park is linear in nature and manages approximately 6.4 linear miles and 970 acres of parkland, and is a National Historic Landmark. Annual visitation is about 122,000 thousand visitors per year. Highest visitation is during the summer months. The interpretive division offers eight different programs during the summer that attracts over 10,000 visitors. The visitor center receives around 30,000-35,000 visitor per year. The picnic area receives about 30,000 visitors per year and access is allowed twenty-four hours per day. Visitors currently view the trace as part of ranger guided tours. The trace itself is poorly marked and in some places dangerous to visitor use without ranger escort. Interpretation of the climb from the base of the mountain to the summit is part of the park's General Management Plan. Completion of this 6.4-mile project will link key park resources with a hiking/biking trail down the east slope of the Allegheny Mountains. Development of this trail will make park resources available to visitors and provide expanded interpretive experience on the Portage Railroad. The trail will provide the park the opportunity to protect and interpret the resources on the east slope, including inclines, planes and remains of railroad structures. Completion of the project will allow the park to interpret the technology and the drama of traversing the Allegheny Ridge.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
100 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 600

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>			
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$ 0	0	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0	
Capital Improvement Work:	\$ 861,000	100	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$ 861,000	
Total Project Estimate:	\$ 861,000	100	Planned Funding:	\$ 0	
Class of Estimate:	B		Future Funding to		
Estimate Good Until:	09/30/05		Complete Project:	\$ 0	
			Project Total:	\$ 861,000	
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>	
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated: 12/5/03	<b>Departmental</b>	
Project Complete:	1 / 2006			<b>Approval:</b>	
				<b>YES: NO: x</b>	

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	100
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Construct Starter Public Facilities and Service for Flight 93 National Memorial		
<b>Project No:</b> 098539	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Flight 93 National Memorial	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 9	<b>State:</b> PA

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** This project will build initial facilities to meet the core needs of visitors to the newly designated Flight 93 National Memorial. The public law that established the memorial authorized a federal commission to be appointed by the Secretary of the Interior upon receiving recommendations from a broad-based Flight 93 task force. The commission is to submit recommendations for the planning, design, construction and long-term management of the memorial by no later than 3 years after the enactment of the Act. Consequently, completion of permanent memorial facilities is years in the future. A temporary memorial has been set up near the crash site and over 100,000 visitors a year now visit. There are immediate unmet needs related to visitor information, access, and safety. The work proposed in this project will provide for those needs in a dignified manner. Specifically, this project will provide safe vehicle access and adequate parking; automatic traffic counters in the temporary parking lot to record visitation; panels with minimal visitor orientation information; basic utility services; sanitary toilet facilities; handicapped access to the temporary memorial; a small temporary shelter with a phone to support the volunteer corps that now staffs the memorial; a small bench and temporary headstone/marker at the crash site for families of Flight 93 passengers and crew; temporary collections storage for tens of thousands artifacts left at the site; and seeding to prevent ongoing memorial site erosion.

**Project Need/Benefit:** It is typical for 1,000 visitors a day to visit the temporary memorial in the summer months; 200 to 500 visitors a day in the fall and spring; and up to 50 visitors a day in the dead of winter. There are two small, unmarked, dirt parking lots that can hold no more than 24 cars each and no bus drop-off or parking. Due to congestion, there is constant unsafe interaction between vehicles and pedestrians. Drainage is poor and results in damage to road and parking lot surfaces. Four portable toilets are located immediately adjacent to the temporary memorial. In the summer, the smell is overwhelming and inappropriate for the solemnity of the site. In the winter, the winds are so strong that the toilets are unusable. There is no handicapped access to the temporary memorial. A small group of dedicated volunteers are serving visitors 7 days a week; 8 hours a day, in rain, cold, heat, and snow with no shelter and no phone service in case of emergency. This is unacceptable given the high number of elderly visitors and the potential for terrorism at this site. A small temporary shelter for the volunteers, along with underground utility service, will enhance safety for these dedicated ambassadors. Minimal, unobtrusive visitor information panels and signs will improve the quality of service. At the present time, only family members are allowed down into the crash site about 1/3-mile away from the temporary memorial. The families have requested a very small stone marker or monument where they could place flowers or other personal effects when they visit what they consider to be the graves of their loved ones. A small headstone and bench for the families at the site would replace hay bales and help provide for their emotional and physical comfort until a permanent memorial is built. Finally, the Somerset Historical Society has taken on the task of collecting, cataloging, preserving, archiving and storing the artifacts that are left at the site daily. Their own operations are being heavily impacted and they are running out of room so a small temporary collections storage facility will be constructed at the Society's headquarters to house the Flight 93 collections until permanent facilities are available.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	100 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 100

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	0	Appropriated to Date:	\$	0
Capital Improvement Work :	\$	806,000	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$	806,000
<b>Total Component Estimate:</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>806,000</b>	Planned Funding:	\$	0
Class of Estimate: C			Future Funding to		
Estimate Good Until: 09/30/05			Complete Project:	\$	0
			Project Total:	\$	806,000
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>	
Construction Start/Award:	1 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated:	Departmental Approval: YES:	
Project Complete:	3 / 2005		12/5/03	NO: x	

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	750
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Construct Security Fence and Screening Structure		
<b>Project No:</b> 085553	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Independence National Historical Park	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 01	<b>State:</b> PA

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** In order to restrict unauthorized pedestrian and vehicular access to Independence Square and the 1st Block of the Mall a combination of fences, gates and barriers totaling 2,943 feet in length needs to be installed. A seven foot high iron fence would be included, in some places, with brick/cement base and pillars. At other locations the fence would sit atop existing walls. Pedestrian gates will be placed between vehicle excluding devices (most likely bollards as their placement in the historic scene has been previously approved by the Service, the State Historic Preservation Office, and the City of Philadelphia). Vehicle gate and new vehicle barrier designs will need to be functional as well as esthetically appropriate. The final phase of this project will include the construction of the security screening facility.

**Project Need/Benefit:** The buildings on Independence Square and the Liberty Bell are located within the Icon District. These sites have traditionally been identified by terrorism experts, including the FBI, as highly potential targets. Post September 11, 2001 reviews/studies that have been approved by both the Director and Secretary have concluded that access must be limited to authorized pedestrians and vehicles only. This project reflects that direction. The fence will limit access to the Icon District of Independence National Historical Park to those entering through the established screening area. The threat from terrorist attack to the historic structures and visitors/employees will be diminished.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

0 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
50 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
50 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: x **Total Project Score:** 750

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>	
Deferred Maintenance Work :	\$	0	Appropriated to Date:	\$ 0
Capital Improvement Work:	\$	5,436,000	Requested in FY 2005 Budget:	\$ 2,000,000
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>5,436,000</b>	<b>Planned Funding:</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>
<b>Class of Estimate:</b>	C		<b>Future Funding to</b>	
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b>	09/30/05		<b>Complete Project:</b>	\$ 3,436,000
			<b>Project Total:</b>	\$ 5,436,000
<b>Dates:</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Unchanged Since</b>
Construction Start/Award	1 / 2005		Prepared/Last Updated: 1/09/04	Departmental
Project Complete:	4 / 2005			Approval:
				YES: NO: x

## Fiscal Year 2005 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program

Program or Park Area: **Flight 93 National Memorial**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2005): Priority No. 6

Location: South Central Pennsylvania

State/County/Congressional District: State of Pennsylvania/Somerset County/Congressional District No. 9 and 12

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail: No estimated annual operating costs are associated with this acquisition at this time

Date	Acres	Total Amount (\$000)
FY 2005 Request	250-500	<b>\$2,214</b>
Future Funding Need	2,541	TBD

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: None

Description: On September 11, 2001, the passengers and crew of Flight 93 courageously gave their lives thwarting a planned attack on our Nation's Capital. Flight 93 National Memorial will be a permanent memorial to the heroes on that plane. On September 24, 2002 Congress passed the Flight 93 National Memorial act creating a unit of the National Park System to commemorate these courageous individuals.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: Over 2,000 acres in southcentral Pennsylvania became a resting place for these victims of terror. The National Park Service, in partnership with the Flight 93 Memorial Task Force, the Flight 93 Advisory Commission, and Families of Flight 93, Inc., will acquire the land and provide a place for future generations to honor these brave men and women.

Threat: The lands which were touched by debris from the explosion of the airplane house businesses of many types, from mining to farming to scrap yards. The people and companies which own these lands have put their lives on hold, or have carefully proceeded, being mindful of the event which occurred there. They need to continue to earn a livelihood and return to normal ways of doing business.

Need: Funds in the amount of \$2,214,000 are needed to begin acquisition of the lands which will comprise the Memorial. The site which is proposed to house the Visitor's Center, and for which construction funds have been requested, is a priority. Some of the landowners have indicated a willingness to donate their properties, however much of the land will be purchased from individuals and private corporations. In addition to the cost of the land, costs for hazardous materials surveys, title, appraisal, and relocation, particularly of businesses, must be paid by the National Park Service.

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: Many landowners are eager for their land to be included in the National Memorial. There has been strong support at the local level for this effort, and there are three partner groups working with NPS on the Flight 93 National memorial.

## RHODE ISLAND

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

(dollars in thousands)					
Congress'l District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
01 Roger Williams Natl Memorial	355	354	0	0	354

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **RHODE ISLAND (NER)**

(dollars in thousands)

### **PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$476

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$932

## VERMONT

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

(dollars in thousands)					
Congress'l District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
	Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
00 Marsh-Billings-Rockefeller NHP	1,752	1,739	0	0	1,739

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.



**VERMONT (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$473

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$803

## VIRGINIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l	District Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	Estimate
	00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
	05 Appomattox Court House NHP	1,266	1,255	0	0	1,255
	08 Arlington House	942	936	0	0	936
	02 Assateague Island NS	3,335	3,385	0	0	3,385
	05,06,09 Blue Ridge Parkway	13,576	13,452	0	0	13,452
	05 Booker T Washington NM	695	692	0	0	692
	10 Cedar Creek and Belle Grove NHP	0	223	0	0	223
	02,03 Colonial NHP	5,479	5,435	0	263	5,698
	09 Cumberland Gap NHP	2,294	2,283	0	434	2,717
	01,07 Fredericksburg/Spotsylvania NMP	3,545	3,404	0	0	3,404
	01 George Washington Birthplace NM	1,123	1,112	0	0	1,112
	08,10 George Washington Mem Parkway	9,907	10,095	0	0	10,095
	10 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,761	5,737	0	0	5,737
	03,07 Maggie L Walker NHS	559	556	0	0	556
	10 Manassas NBP	2,313	2,298	0	0	2,298
	00 Overmountain NHT	134	163	0	0	163
	03,04 Petersburg NB	2,472	2,444	0	0	2,444
	00 Potomac Heritage NST	197	216	0	0	216
	01,11 Prince William Forest Park	2,609	2,706	0	0	2,706
	03,07 Richmond NBP	2,457	2,442	0	0	2,442
	00 Rock Creek Park	6,281	6,244		0	6,244
	05,06,07 Shenandoah NP	10,535	10,255	0	0	10,255
	11 Wolf Trap Farm Park	3,283	3,267	0	0	3,267

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## **VIRGINIA**

### **Colonial National Historical Park, Virginia**

#### **\$263,000 and 3.7 FTEs to Provide Interpretive and Educational Programs**

Funding is requested to meet an increased demand for educational and interpretive programs. Colonial NHP administers two historically significant sites: Jamestown, the first permanent English settlement in North America in 1607, and Yorktown Battlefield, the final major battle of the American Revolutionary War in 1781. An increasing number of schools take students on field trips to the park, creating a need for additional educational programs. Also, existing educational programs at Jamestown and Yorktown need to be updated to fulfill the Virginia standards of learning. Funds would be used to improve educational programs and interpretive services, including ranger tours, character interpreters and classroom enrichment programs. Educational programs would enhance students' academic experiences through use of the inquiry method and hands-on activities. Interpretive programs at the park would reach about 100,000 additional visitors, as visitors come to the park for the 400th Anniversary at Jamestown and the 225th Anniversary at Yorktown.

**VIRGINIA (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Appomattox Courthouse NHP	Potential New Start
Cedar Creek & Belle Grove NHP	Potential New Start
Chesapeake Bay Sites	Ongoing Study
George Washington Birthplace NM	Potential New Start

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

<u>Study Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>
Chesapeake Bay Sites	Ongoing Study

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Petersburg NB	Consolidate, Rehabilitate, and Replace Park Maintenance Facilities	\$812

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Project Title</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Shenandoah NP	Resurface Parking	\$1,900
Fredericksburg & Spotsylvania NMP	Rehabilitate Park Roads	\$1,200

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$645

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$2,021

**National Park Service  
PROJECT DATA SHEET**

<b>Project Score/Ranking:</b>	820
<b>Planned Funding FY:</b>	2005
<b>Funding Source:</b>	Line Item Construction

**Project Identification**

<b>Project Title:</b> Consolidate, Rehabilitate, and Replace Park Maintenance Facilities		
<b>Project No:</b> 029737	<b>Unit/Facility Name:</b> Petersburg National Battlefield	
<b>Region:</b> Northeast	<b>Congressional District:</b> 04	<b>State:</b> VA

**Project Justification**

**Project Description:** The purpose of this project is to consolidate the park's maintenance facilities at one location in order to improve operational efficiency, protect resources, and better accommodate the park's increased maintenance needs. In particular, this project is intended to eliminate the use of historic structures to house maintenance operations and to remove non-historic maintenance shops and storage facilities from historic settings such as City Point. Project work includes constructing a new 2,800-square-foot vehicle repair building and a 2,872-square-foot vehicle storage canopy, constructing a paint and lead-based-paint-removal room by enclosing an existing 672-square-foot shed; rehabilitating 1,560-square-feet of the existing maintenance facility to provide locker rooms and showers, a break/training room, office space, and a unisex restroom; and associated sitework.

**Project Need/Benefit:** Maintenance is currently spread over eleven locations of which ten are historic buildings. The project would consolidate those activities into one central location. Many of the historic structures now occupied have either been determined to be at risk, are in the historic scene, or are up for lease as historic properties. If current properties are taken by historic lease, maintenance will have no choice but to intrude more deeply into the historic buildings and expose them to further risk. Maintenance has moved several times in the past just to accommodate ever-changing park needs for housing. The City Point DCP determined that an off-site facility was the only real option for consolidation of maintenance activities. Adequate facilities to deal with lead-based paint removal are not available. The house used currently has water, electrical service, and showers, but does not conform to OSHA standards. Continued use poses loss by risk of fire and is detrimental to employee health.

**Ranking Categories:** Identify the percent of the project that is in the following categories of need.

40 % Critical Health or Safety Deferred Maintenance	0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0 % Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
60 % Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0 % Other Capital Improvement
0 % Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	

**Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required:** YES: NO: **Total Project Score:** 820

**Project Costs and Status**

<b>Project Cost Estimate:</b>			<b>Project Funding History:</b>		
<b>Deferred Maintenance Work :</b>	<b>\$'s</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Appropriated to Date:</b>	\$	0
<b>Capital Improvement Work:</b>	\$ 812,000	100	<b>Requested in FY 2005 Budget:</b>	\$	812,000
<b>Total Project Estimate:</b>	\$ 0	0	<b>Planned Funding:</b>	\$	0
<b>Class of Estimate:</b>	B		<b>Future Funding to</b>		
<b>Estimate Good Until:</b>	09/30/05		<b>Complete Project:</b>	\$	0
			<b>Project Total:</b>	\$	812,000
<b>Dates:</b>			<b>Unchanged Since</b>		
<b>Construction Start/Award</b>	<b>Sch'd (qtr/yy)</b>		<b>Departmental</b>		
<b>Project Complete:</b>	2 / 2005		<b>Approval:</b>		
	4 / 2005		<b>YES: NO: x</b>		
			<b>Project Data Sheet</b>		
			<b>Prepared/Last Updated:</b> 12/5/03		

## WEST VIRGINIA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

		(dollars in thousands)				
Congress'l District	Park Units/Trails/Affiliated Areas	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2005	FY 2005 Estimate
		Enacted	Enacted	Uncontrol Changes	Program Changes	
	00 Appalachian NST	1,034	1,024	0	0	1,024
	03 Bluestone NSR	68	68	0	0	68
	01 Chesapeake & Ohio Canal NHP	8,298	8,371	0	0	8,371
	02,03 Gauley River NRA	234	233	0	0	233
	02 Harpers Ferry NHP	5,761	5,737	0	0	5,737
	03 New River Gorge National River	6,830	6,795	0	0	6,795

FY 2005 uncontrollable funding related to pay and benefits has yet to be distributed at the park level.

This table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in these programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**WEST VIRGINIA (NER)**  
(dollars in thousands)

**PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:**

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

New River Gorge NR

**Type of Project**

Ongoing Project

SPECIAL STUDIES (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM (subject to change pending program reauthorization)

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$544

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$991